

ITTC Quality System Manual

Recommended Procedures and Guidelines

Guideline

Scaling Method for ship wake fraction with pre-swirl devices

7.5	Process	control
1.0	11000000	control

- 7.5-02 Testing and Extrapolation Methods
- 7.5-02-03 Propulsion
- 7.5-02-03-01 Performance
- 7.5-02-03-01.8 Scaling Method for ship wake fraction with pre-swirl devices

All the information in ITTC Recommended Procedures and Guidelines is published in good faith. Neither ITTC nor committee members provide any warranties about the completeness, reliability, accuracy or otherwise of this information. Given the technical evolution, the ITTC Recommended Procedures and Guidelines are checked regularly by the relevant committee and updated when necessary. It is therefore important to always use the latest version.

Any action you take upon the information you find in the ITTC Recommended Procedures and Guidelines is strictly at your own responsibility. Neither ITTC nor committee members shall be liable for any losses and/or damages whatsoever in connection with the use of information available in the ITTC Recommended Procedures and Guidelines.

Updated / Edited by	Approved
Specialist Committee on Energy Saving Method of the 29 th ITTC	29 th ITTC 2021
Date: 08/2019	Date: 06/2021

iπ	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5-02 -03-01.8 Page 2 of 7	
INTERNATIONAL TOWING TANK CONFERENCE	Scaling Method for ship wake fraction with pre-swirl devices	Effective Date 2021	Revision 00

Table of Contents

- 1. PURPOSE OF GUIDELINE3
- 2. DESCRIPTION OF GUIDELINE......3

 - 2.2 Definition of variables3
 - 2.3 Flow characteristics around preswirl device and propeller......4
- 2.4 ITTC 1999 method: background and limitation4
- 2.5 Scaling method for pre swirl devices5



Scaling Method for ship wake fraction with pre-swirl devices

1. PURPOSE OF GUIDELINE

The purpose of the guideline is to complement the ITTC 1978 procedure for the prediction of the delivered power and rate of revolutions for single and twin screw ships with either PSS (Pre-Swirl Stator) or PSD (Pre-Swirl Duct) being installed.

2. DESCRIPTION OF GUIDELINE

2.1 Introduction

With a view to reducing EEDI of marine vessels, various kinds of ESD (Energy Saving Device) have been devised to improve propulsive efficiency. Typical ESDs are PSS (Pre-Swirl Stator), PSD (Pre-Swirl Duct), CRP (Contra Rotating Propeller), PBCF (Propeller Boss Cap Fin), etc.

PSS, which is located in front of the propeller, improves the propulsion efficiency through the recovery of rotational energy generated during propeller rotation, making a counter-swirl flow against the tangential velocity caused by the propeller. The device achieves about 5% reduction in energy consumption (Lee *et al.* 1994). PSD, which also is located in front of the propeller, consists of two ESD: PSS and duct. The device makes the oncoming flow more uniform to the propeller. Although the performance varies according to ship type and operating condition, its energy reduction effect is about 3% to 6% (Mewis and Guiard 2011; Dang 2012; Shin *et al.* 2013; Song *et al.* 2015).

The powering performance prediction method for the model test of conventional ship

has been established by ITTC successfully in 1978. The ITTC 1978 method has been applied to the single screw conventional ships. However, the ITTC 1978 method has a limitation for extrapolation method for such a pre-swirl device. The newly proposed so called ITTC 1999 method is also not clear in the physics of flow mechanism around propeller section.

2.2 Definition of variables

- C_A Model-ship correlation allowance
- C_F Frictional resistance coefficient

*Factor*_{axial}

factor of axial wake portion

- Factor_{tangential}
 - factor of tangential wake portion
- *k* Form factor
- *n* Propeller rate of revolution
- *Q* Torque
- *Re* Reynolds number
- *t* thrust deduction factor
- t_{MO} model thrust deduction factor without pre-swirl device
- t_{MS} model thrust deduction factor with preswirl device
- U_A propeller advance speed (m/s)
- U_R relative inflow velocity (m/s)
- u_x axial induced velocity (m/s)
- u_t tangential induced velocity (m/s)
- w_M model wake fraction defined in ITTC 1978
- w_{MO} model wake fraction without pre-swirl device
- w_{MS} model wake fraction with pre-swirl device
- w_S ship wake fraction
- ΔC_F Roughness allowance
- α angle of attack

ITTC – Procedur Scaling Method : pre	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5-02 -03-01.8 Page 4 of 7	
	Scaling Method for ship wake fraction with pre-swirl devices	Effective Date 2021	Revision 00

2.3 Flow characteristics around pre-swirl device and propeller

Pre-swirl device generates a counter swirl flow to save rotational energy from propeller. For the prediction of powering performance, ITTC 1978 method adopts the thrust identity method to find out the effective mean wake fraction. As shown in Figure 1, the angle of attack α depends on the inflow velocity on propeller plane (U_A) and the rotational velocity ($2\pi nr$) if the induced velocity is neglected. If the rotational velocity (speed of revolution) is kept same as in the POW (Propeller Open Water) condition and in the propeller behind ship condition, the inflow velocity is therefore colinear to the thrust. The counter swirl flow generated will be of potential nature rather than viscous.



Figure 1: Change of inflow angle at the propeller blade section due to the induced velocity of the ESD

The difficulty in scaling arises from the point that the pre-swirl device makes not only a counter swirl but also axial flow retardation. It is therefore necessary to decompose the axial and tangential components separately. As shown in Figure 1 (b), the presence of pre-swirl device causes the relative velocity to propeller blade to change as U'_R with increased angle of attack $\alpha + \Delta \alpha$, thereby increasing thrust. Therefore, the open water characteristics of the compound propulsor (propeller & pre-swirl device) become different from that of propeller only. If the scaling is applied to the total amount of model wake based on the thrust identity defined in the ITTC 1978, this might result in overestimating axial induced velocity u'_x , as depicted in Figure 1 (c). Thus, the increase in thrust due to both axial and tangential induced velocity might be misinterpreted solely by the axial induced velocity by ITTC 1978 method.

2.4 ITTC 1999 method: background and limitation

This so-called "ITTC 1999 method" does not actually belong to the ITTC recommended procedures and guidelines. This was introduced in the 22nd ITTC final report of the Specialist Committee on Unconventional Propulsors (ITTC 1999).

The combined propulsor, such as PSS - propeller system, can be analyzed with two kinds of method shown in Figure 2. In method A, the predevice is considered as a combined propulsor, which means the pre-device and propeller are treated as a whole propulsor. This assumption implies that in both open water and self-propulsion tests, the thrusts of propeller and stator are measured simultaneously and their sum is used as the thrust of the propulsion system. The report addressed that the ITTC 1978 procedure fails to scale the performance of unconventional propulsion systems correctly, and this is due to two main causes. The first one is the laminar flow generated around the devices in the model test environment (scale); the second is that the model hull has a boundary layer that differs from the full scale one both in thickness and in velocity distribution.

ΪC	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5-02 -03-01.8 Page 5 of 7	
INTERNATIONAL TOWING TANK CONFERENCE	Scaling Method for ship wake fraction with pre-swirl devices	Effective Date 2021	Revision 00



Figure 2: ITTC 1999 Method

In method B, which is commonly referred to as ITTC 1999, the pre-device is considered as a part of hull, therefore the resistance test is carried out with pre-device while the POW test is executed with propeller alone. This procedure does not require the joint test of the stator and the propeller because the stator is tested being considered as the part of the hull. On the other hand, it requires a double set of resistance and self-propulsion tests are done: with and without the stator.

The scaling process is again the two dimensional approach of the ITTC 1957 method with an exception made for the determination of the full-scale wake, which is performed by means of the following formula that closely resembles that suggested by the ITTC 1978 correlation procedure:

$$w_{S} = (t_{MO} + 0.04) + (w_{MO} - t_{MO} - 0.04) \frac{c_{FS} + c_{A}}{c_{FM}} + (w_{MS} - w_{MO})$$
(1)

while the standard ITTC 1978 ship wake is:

$$w_{S} = (t + 0.04) + (w_{M} - t - 0.04) \frac{(1+k)C_{FS} + \Delta C_{F}}{(1+k)C_{FM}}$$
(2)

The major difference compared with the ITTC 1978 formulation is the term $(w_{MS} - w_{MO})$. Since in the opinion of Van *et al.* (1993) the main effect of the stator is the increase of the angles of attack of the propeller blade sections, the stator action can be considered as mainly potential phenomenon. Thus, the difference in wakes with and without stator can be directly transferred to full scale.

2.5 Scaling method for pre swirl devices

Lee (2015) carried out a comparative fullscale performance prediction for the pre-swirl devices based on the ITTC 1978 method and the ITTC 1999 method. It was addressed that the ITTC 1978 method has a limitation for extrapolating such a pre-swirl device. The ITTC 1999, a newer procedure which adopts different scaling for the axial and tangential component of wake, has not appear to clarify the flow mechanism around the propeller section. It was then proposed a new extrapolation method which leads to a more reasonable estimate for the angle of attack to the propeller. This approach has been presented by Kim et al. (2017) at the 5th International Symposium on Marine Propulsion and the corresponding extrapolation formula is given as follows:

$$w_{S} = (t_{MS} + 0.04) + (w_{MS,axial} - t_{MS} - 0.04) \frac{C_{FS} + C_{A}}{C_{FM}} + w_{MS,tangential}$$
(3)

$$w_{MS,axial} = w_{MO} + (w_{MS} - w_{MO}) \cdot Factor_{axial}$$
(4)

$$w_{MS,tangential} = (w_{MS} - w_{MO}) \cdot Factor_{tangential}$$
(5)

This is a compromise between ITTC 1978 and ITTC 1999 in that the axial velocity component and tangential velocity component are scaled separately. The axial wake, being of viscous nature, is scaled following ITTC 1978. On the contrary, the tangential wake, considered as potential flow phenomenon, is not scaled after the assumption of ITTC 1999. In addition, the thrust deduction factor is changed from that without a pre-swirl device in the ITTC 1999 method to that with a pre-swirl device.



It was found that the portions of tangential and axial velocity vary according to the vessel type as well as the device type. As shown in Table 1, Kim *et al.* (2017) proposed the factors of axial and tangential portion to be 0.3 and 0.7 in PSS case and 0.8 and 0.2 in PSD case, respectively. It is worthwhile to mention that the factors in Table 1 have been derived from limited ship types, i.e., KCS for PSS and KVLCC for PSD. Therefore, a generalization toward identifying a reasonable value range for each factor based on case studies with more ship types is necessary.

Table 1: Factors of axial and tangential portion

ESD Type	Factor _{axial}	Factor _{tangential}
PSS	0.3	0.7
PSD	0.8	0.2

The newly proposed method is then applied to extrapolate model test results for KCS and KVLCC in comparison with ITTC 1978 and ITTC 1999 methods. Whilst the three methods give almost the same values for the thrust deduction factor, the new method (Kim et al. 2017) gives the values of the full scale wake, delivered power and speed of revolution between the values given by the ITTC 1978 and ITTC 1999 methods. In case of PSS, the new method gives estimates closer to those by ITTC 1999. On the other hand, the estimated values by the new method for PSD are closer to those by ITTC 1978. As the newly proposed method is based on CFD simulation results, it needs to be verified by results obtained using such detailed flow measurement techniques as LDV and PIV. Furthermore, feedback from more comprehensive full-scale data will be required to establish and refine the extrapolation strategies proposed.

3. **REFERENCES**

- Dang J., 2012, "An exploratory study on the working principle of energy saving devices(ESDs)-PIV, CFD investigations and ESD design guidelines," <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>31st International Conference on Ocean, Offshore and Arctic Eng.</u>, OMAE2012-83053, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Kim, M.C., Shin, Y.J., Lee, W.J., Lee, J.H., 2017, "Study on Extrapolation Method for Self-Propulsion Test with Pre-Swirl Device," <u>5th International Symposium on Marine Propellers (SMP'17)</u>, Espoo, Finland, June 2017
- Lee, W. J., 2015, "Study on Full-Scale Performance Prediction for Pre-Swirl Device Model Test Results," <u>Ph. D. thesis</u>, Pusan National University
- Lee J.T., Kim M.C., Suh J.C., Kim S.H., Choi J.K., 1992, "Development of Preswirl Stator-Propeller System for Improvement of Propulsion Efficiency: a Symmetric Stator Propulsion System", <u>Journal of the Society</u> <u>of Naval Architects of Korea</u>, vol. 41, no. 3, pp.13-21
- Lee, J.T., Kim M.C., Van S.H., Kim K.S., Kim H.C., 1994, "Development of Preswirl Stator-Propeller System for a 300K VLCC," Journal of the Society of Naval Architects of Korea, vol. 31, no. 1, pp.1-13
- Mewis F. and Guiard T., 2011, "Mewis ductnew developments, solutions and conclusions," <u>Proceedings of the 2nd International</u> <u>Symposium on Marine Propulsors</u>, Hamburg, Germany.
- Shin H.J., Lee J.S., Lee K.H., Han M.R, Hur E.B., Shin S.C., 2013, "Numerical and experimental investigation of conventional and unconventional preswirl duct for VLCC,"

INTERNATIONAL TOWING TANK CONFERENCE	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5-02 -03-01.8 Page 7 of 7	
	Scaling Method for ship wake fraction with pre-swirl devices	Effective Date 2021	Revision 00

International Journal of Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 414-430.

- Song H.J., Kim M.C., Lee W.J., Kim J.H., 2015, "Development of the New Energy Saving Device for the Reduction of Fuel of 176k Bulk Carrier," <u>Journal of the Society of Naval Architects of Korea</u>, vol. 52, no. 6, pp. 419-427.
- Van, S-H, Kim, M-C. and Lee, J-T, 1993, "Some remarks on the powering performance prediction method for a ship equipped with a pre-swirl stator-propeller system", Korea Research Institute of Ship and Ocean Engineering, Daejeon, Korea, ITTC 1993, Vol. 2.