	<b>ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines</b>		<b>7.5 – 03 02 – 02</b> Page 1 of 9	
	<b>Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion</b>		Effective Date 2017	Revision 01

## ITTC Quality System Manual


### Recommended Procedures and Guidelines

#### Procedure

### Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion


7.5	Process Control
7.5-03	CFD
7.5-03-02	Resistance and Flow
7.5-03-02-02	Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion

Updated / Edited by	Approved
Resistance Committee of 28 <sup>th</sup> ITTC	28 <sup>th</sup> ITTC 2017
Date: 03/2017	Date: 09/2017

	<b>ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines</b>	<b>7.5 – 03 02 – 02</b> Page 2 of 9	
	<b>Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion</b>	Effective Date 2017	Revision 01

## Table of Contents

<b>1. PURPOSE OF PROCEDURE ..... 3</b>	<b>3. REFERENCES..... 6</b>
<b>2. BENCHMARK DATABASE FOR CFD VALIDATION FOR RESISTANCE AND PROPULSION ..... 3</b>	

	<b>ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines</b>	<b>7.5 – 03 02 – 02</b> Page 3 of 9	
	<b>Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion</b>	Effective Date 2017	Revision 01

## Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion.

### 1. PURPOSE OF PROCEDURE

Provide a listing of the benchmark database for CFD validation for resistance and propulsion.

### 2. BENCHMARK DATABASE FOR CFD VALIDATION FOR RESISTANCE AND PROPULSION

Rapid advancements in the development of CFD and EFD provide the necessary tools for realisation of simulation based design. However, validation and calibration are also required, which creates the need to maintain a current evaluation of databases for CFD validation with regard to status and future uses and requirements. This has been a continuing goal of the RC with specific focus on the surface-ship model-scale database and on data of relevance to resistance and propulsion and validation of RANS codes. The present evaluation provides an update over that reported by the 21<sup>st</sup> RC (ITTC, 1996) and is recommended for adoption. The effort was important in preparation for the upcoming Gothenburg 2000 Workshop on CFD in Ship Hydrodynamics (Gothenburg, 2000) as an aid in selection of benchmark cases. The Gothenburg 2000 CFD Workshop will compare viscous CFD codes and data for cargo/container, combatant, and tanker hull forms with and without a free surface. A database evaluation was also done recently for aerospace applications (Marvin, 1995); however, the emphasis is more on building block experiments than practical geometries.

The previous evaluations were updated by down selection and inclusion of both unbeknownst and newly acquired data. The down selection is based on the recommendations of the 21<sup>st</sup> RC for cargo/container [Hamburg Test Case (HTC)], combatant [David Taylor Model Basin (DTMB) model 5415 (5415)], and tanker [Ryuko-Maru (RM)] geometries which required that full-scale data and/or ship existed along with the Series 60  $C_B=0.6$  (S60) cargo/container and HSVA tanker geometries since the data and previous use are extensive. Unbeknownst data for a tanker (DAIOH) and newly acquired data for cargo/container (KCS) and tanker (KVLCC) geometries are also included since the data is extensive and holds promise for CFD validation.

The evaluation procedures followed those described by the 21<sup>st</sup> RC (ITTC, 1996). The data was organised in summary and detailed tables and evaluated using criteria developed for geometry and flow, physics, CFD validation, and full scale as well as past uses. Conclusions are also provided with regard to the available data and past uses and recommendations provided for future uses of the available data (including Gothenburg 2000) and future data procurement. The evaluation was fairly extensive and therefore was only summarised in the 22<sup>nd</sup> RC report mainly with regard to the summary table and recommendations. Stern et al. (1998) provides the complete evaluation, including references. The 28<sup>th</sup> RC report includes a new benchmark database, which is a Bulk Carrier hull form with and without an energy saving device (ESD). The updated summary table and references are also provided below. Table 4.



# ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines

**7.5 – 03**  
**02 – 02**  
Page 4 of 9

## Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion

Effective Date  
2017

Revision  
01

Facility, propulsor, and data →  ↓ Database entry ↓	Facility	Propulsor	ESD	F/M	Self propulsion	Sinkage and trim	Surface pressure	Wave profile	Wave elevation (0)	Wave elevation (0)	Mean velocity	Mean pressure	Turbulence
<b>Cargo-container</b>													
<i>Series 60 C<sub>B</sub>=0.600 (S60)</i> Full-scale ship does not exist													
1.1 Cooperative Experimental Program ITTC (1984, 1987, 1990b) Fry and Kim (1985) Ogiwara and Kajitani (1994)	tt, wc tt tt	wo wo wo			√  √	√  √	√  √	√  √	√  √	√  √	√  √		
1.2 Osaka University & Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research Toda et al., (1990)	tt	w, wo		√	√		√	√				√	√
1.3 Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research Toda et al., (1992); Longo et al., (1993)	tt	wo		√				√	√	√	√	√	√
1.4 Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research Longo and Stern, (1996)	tt	wo		√		√		√	√	√	√	√	√
1.5 National Technical University of Athens Garofallidis, (1996)	tt	wo		√			√	√	√	√	√	√	√
1.6 Osaka University Suzuki et al., (1998a)	wt	wo									√		√
<i>Hamburg Test Case C<sub>B</sub>=0.645 (HTC)</i> Full-scale ship exists													
2.1 HSV A Lammers et al. (1989)	s	w										√	
2.2 HSV A Bertram et al. (1992) Bertram et al. (1994)	tt	w, wo		√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
2.3 University of Hamburg Gietz and Kux (1995)	wt	wo										√	√
2.4 Osaka University Suzuki et al. (1998c)	wt	wo										√	√
<i>KRISO 3600 TEU C<sub>B</sub>=0.651 (KCS)</i> Full-scale ship does not exist													
3.1 Korean Research Institute of Ships & Ocean Engineering Van et al. (1997) Van et al. (1998b)	tt	w, wo		√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
3.2 Pohang University of Science and Technology Lee et al. (1998b)	wt	wo										√	√
<b>Combatant</b>													
<i>DTMB model 5415 C<sub>B</sub>=0.506 (5415)</i> Full-scale ship does not exist													
4.1 David Taylor Model Basin Fry and Kim (1985) Ratcliffe (1998b)	tt	w, wo		√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
4.2 Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research Longo and Stern (1999)	tt	wo		√		√		√				√	√
4.3 INSEAN Avanzini et al. (1998) Olivieri and Penna (1999)	tt	wo		√		√		√		√	√	√	√





# ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines

**7.5 – 03**  
**02 – 02**  
Page 6 of 9

## Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion

Effective Date  
2017

Revision  
01

Facility, propulsor, and data →  ↓ Database entry ↓	Facility	Propulsor	ESD	F/M	Self propulsion	Sinkage and trim	Surface pressure	Wave profile	Wave elevation (l)	Wave elevation (t)	Mean velocity	Mean pressure	Turbulence
<b>Bulk Carrier</b>													
<i>Japan Bulk Carrier CB=0.858 (JBC)</i> Full-scale ship does not exist													
9.1 National Maritime Research Institute No reference available	tt	w, wo	w, wo	√	√	√		√	√	√	√		
9.2 Osaka University No reference available	tt	w, wo	w, wo	√	√	√					√		
9.3 Technical University of Hamburg No reference available	wt	wo	wo	√							√		√

tt, wt, wc, s: Towing tank, wind tunnel, water channel, and sea, respectively

w, wo: With and without, respectively

√: Data available

√: Data under procurement

NA: Data not available

?: Percentage range of variable

HSVA-Tanker 2 Flow Field,” Institute of Shipbuilding (IfS) Rept. 521, Uni. Hamburg.

### 3. REFERENCES

Avanzini, G., Bennedetti, and Penna, R., 1998, “Experimental Evaluation of Ship Resistance for RANS Code Validation,” ISOPE '98, Montreal, Canada, May.

Bertram, V., Chao, K.Y., Lammers, G., and Laudan J., 1994, “Experimental Validation Data of Free-Surface Flows for Cargo Vessels,” Proceedings of CFD Workshop Tokyo 1994, Vol. 1, pp. 311-320.

Bertram, V., Chao, K.Y., Lammers, G., and Laudan J., 1992, “Development and Verification of Numerical Methods for Power Prediction,” HSVA Rept. 1579 (in German).

Denker, J., Knaack, T., and Kux, J., 1992, “Experimental and Numerical Investigations of

Dyne, G., 1995, “An Experimental Investigation of the Tanker Model “Dyne” in a Towing Tank,” Chalmers University of Technology Rept. CHA/NAVR/-95/0036.

Fry, D.J. and Kim, Y.H., 1985, “Bow Flow Field of Surface Ships,” Proceedings of the 15th ONR Symposium on Naval Hydrodynamics, Hamburg, pp. 319-346.

Garofallidis, D. A., 1996, “ Experimental and Numerical Investigation of the Flow around a Ship Model At Various Froude Numbers Part II: Uncertainty Analysis for Measurements,” PhD Thesis, Dept. Of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering, National Technical University of Athens.



# ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines

7.5 – 03  
02 – 02  
Page 7 of 9

## Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion

Effective Date  
2017

Revision  
01

- Gothenburg, 2000, “Gothenburg 2000 Workshop on CFD in Ship Hydrodynamics,” [www.ihr.uiowa.edu/gothenburg2000](http://www.ihr.uiowa.edu/gothenburg2000)
- Gietz, U. and Kux, J., 1995, “Flow Investigations on the Hamburg Test Case Model in the Wind Tunnel,” Institute of Shipbuilding (IfS) Rept. 550, Uni. Hamburg (in German).
- Hoffmann, H.P., 1976, “Investigation of 3-Dimensional Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Ship Double Model in Wind Tunnel,” Institute of Shipbuilding (IfS) Rept. 343, Uni. Hamburg (in German).
- ITTC, 1984, “Report of the Resistance and Flow Committee,” 17<sup>th</sup> International Towing Tank Conference, Goteborg, Sweden, pp. 75-138.
- ITTC, 1987, “Report of the Resistance and Flow Committee,” 18<sup>th</sup> International Towing Tank Conference, Kobe Japan, pp. 47-95.
- ITTC, 1990, “Report of the Resistance and Flow Committee,” 19<sup>th</sup> International Towing Tank Conference, Madrid, Spain, pp. 55-107.
- ITTC, 1996, “Report of the Resistance and Flow Committee”, 21<sup>st</sup>. International Towing Tank Conference, Trondheim, Norway, pp. 439-514.
- Kasahara, Y., 1985, “An Experimental Investigation of the Scale Effect on Nominal and Effective Wake Distribution Using Geosim Models of Two Different Ships,” Proceedings 1<sup>st</sup> Osaka International Colloquium on Ship Viscous Flow, Osaka, Japan, pp. 432-449.
- Knaack, T., 1984, “Laser Doppler Velocimetry Measurements on a Ship Model in Wind Tunnel,” Institute of Shipbuilding (IfS) Rept. 439, Uni. Hamburg (in German).
- Knaack, T., 1990, “LDV-Measurements of Reynolds-Stresses in Wake of a Ship Model in Wind Tunnel,” Institute of Shipbuilding (IfS) Rept. 499, Uni. Hamburg (in German).
- Knaack, T., 1992, “Investigation of Structure of Reynolds Tensor Fields in a Three-Dimensional Flow,” Institute of Shipbuilding (IfS) Rept. 527, Uni. Hamburg (in German).
- Lammers, G., Laudan, J., and Strohrmann, H., 1989, “Correlation of Wake and Cavitation and their Consequences,” HSV A Rept. 1565 (in German).
- Larsson, L., Stern, F., Visneau, M., Hirata, N., Hino, T., and Kim, J., 2015, A Workshop on CFD in Ship Hydrodynamics, Tokyo
- Lee, J., Lee, S.J., and Van, S.H., 1998, “Wind Tunnel Test on a Double Deck Shaped Ship Model,” 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Hydrodynamics, Seoul, Korea.
- Longo, J., Stern, F., and Toda, Y., 1993, “Mean-Flow Measurements in the Boundary Layer and Wake and Wave Field of a Series 60  $C_B=0.60$  Ship Model - Part 2: Scale Effects on Near-Field Wave Patterns and Comparisons with Inviscid Theory,” Journal of Ship Research, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 16-24.
- Longo, J. and F. Stern, 1996, “Yaw effects on model-scale ship flows,” Proceedings of the 21<sup>st</sup> ONR Symposium on Naval Hydrodynamics, Trondheim, Norway, pp. 312-327.
- Longo, J. and Stern, F., 1999, “Resistance, Sinkage and Trim, Wave Profile, and Nominal Wake and Uncertainty Assessment for DTMB Model 5512,” Proceedings 25<sup>th</sup> ATTC, Iowa City, IA.



**ITTC – Recommended  
Procedures and Guidelines**

**7.5 – 03  
02 – 02**  
Page 8 of 9


**Benchmark Database for CFD Validation  
for Resistance and Propulsion**

Effective Date  
2017

Revision  
01

- Lundgren, H. and Ahman, M., G., 1994, “Experimentell och numerisk bestämning av vagnmotstånd för ett tankfartyg (Dyne tankern),” Chalmers University of Technology Rept. X-94/58 (in Swedish).
- Marvin, J.G., 1995, “Dryden Lectureship in Research: Perspectives on Computational Fluid Dynamics Validation,” AIAA J., Vol. 33, No. 10, pp. 1778-1787.
- Ogiwara, S., 1994, “Stern Flow Measurements for the Tanker 'Ryuko-Maru' in Model Scale, Intermediate Scale, and Full Scale Ships,” Proceedings of CFD Workshop Tokyo 1994, Vol. 1, pp. 341-349.
- Ogiwara, S. and Kajitani, H., 1994, “Pressure Distribution on the Hull Surface of Series 60 ( $C_B=0.60$ ) Model,” Proceedings of CFD Workshop Tokyo 1994, Vol. 1, pp. 350-358.
- Olivieri, A. and Penna, R., 1999, “Uncertainty Assessment in Wave Elevation Measurements,” ISOPE '99, Brest, France, June.
- Ratcliffe, T., 1998,  
<http://www50.dt.navy.mil/5415/>.
- Stern, F., Longo, J., Maksoud, M., and Suzuki, T., 1998, “Evaluation of Surface-Ship Resistance and Propulsion Model-Scale Database for CFD Validation,” 1<sup>st</sup> Symposium on Marine Applications of Computational Fluid Dynamics, McLean, VA, 19-21 May (also see [www.iuhr.uiowa.edu/gothenburg2000](http://www.iuhr.uiowa.edu/gothenburg2000)).
- Suzuki, H., Miyazaki, S., Suzuki, T., and Matsu-  
mura, K., 1998a, “Turbulence Measure-  
ments in Stern Flow Field of Two Ship Mod-  
els,” Proceedings 3<sup>rd</sup> Osaka Colloquium on  
Advanced CFD Applications to Ship Flow  
and Hull Form Design, Osaka, Japan.
- Suzuki, H., Suzuki, T., and Miyazaki, S., 1998b,  
“Turbulence Measurements in Stern Flow  
Field of a Ship Model—Series 60,  $C_B=0.60$ —,”  
Journal of Kansai Society of Naval Archi-  
tects, No. 227, pp. 29-40 (in Japanese).
- Suzuki, H., Suzuki, T., Miyazaki, S. and Matsu-  
mura, K., 1998c, “Turbulence Measure-  
ments in Stern Flow Field of Two Ship Mod-  
els—Ryuko-Maru and Hamburg Test Case—,”  
Proceedings of the Kansai Society of Naval  
Architects, No. 10, pp. 1-4.
- Tanaka, I., Suzuki, T., Himeno, Y., Takahei, T.,  
Tsuda, T., Sakao, M., Yamazaki, Y., Kasa-  
hara, Y., and Takagi, M., 1984, “Investiga-  
tion of Scale Effects on Wake Distribution  
Using Geosim Models,” J. Kansai Soc. N.A.,  
Japan, No. 192, pp. 103-120.
- Toda, Y., Stern, F., Tanaka, I., and Patel, V.C.,  
1990, “Mean-Flow Measurements in the  
Boundary Layer and Wake of a Series 60  
 $C_B=0.60$  Model Ship With and Without Pro-  
peller,” Journal of Ship Research, Vol. 34,  
No. 4, pp. 225-252.
- Toda, Y., Stern, F., and Longo, J., 1992, “Mean-  
Flow Measurements in the Boundary Layer  
and Wake and Wave Field of a Series 60  
 $C_B=0.60$  Ship Model - Part 1: Froude Num-  
bers 0.16 and 0.316,” Journal of Ship Re-  
search, Vol. 36, No. 4, pp. 360-377.
- Van, S.H., Yim, G.T., Kim, W.J., Kim, D.H.,  
Yoon, H.S., and Eom, J.Y., 1997, “Measure-  
ment of Flows Around a 3600TEU Con-  
tainer Ship Model,” Proceedings of the An-  
nual Autumn Meeting, SNAK, Seoul, pp.  
300-304 (in Korean).
- Van, S.H., Kim W.J., Kim, D.H., Yim, G.T.,  
Lee, C.J., and Eom, J.Y., 1998a, “Flow



	<b>ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines</b>		<b>7.5 – 03 02 – 02</b> Page 9 of 9	
	<b>Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion</b>		Effective Date 2017	Revision 01

Measurement Around a 300K VLCC Model,”  
Proceedings of the Annual Spring Meeting,  
SNAK, Ulsan, pp. 185-188.

Van, S.H., Kim, W.J., Yim, G.T., Kim, D.H.,  
and Lee, C.J., 1998b, “Experimental Inves-  
tigation of the Flow Characteristics Around

Practical Hull Forms,” Proceedings 3<sup>rd</sup>  
Osaka Colloquium on Advanced CFD Ap-  
plications to Ship Flow and Hull Form De-  
sign, Osaka, Japan.