# Effect of cavitation during propeller ice interaction

Rod Sampson Emerson Cavitation Tunnel, University of Newcastle, UK



# ITTC Specialist Committee on Ice

#### Podded Propulsor Performance in Ice

#### Papers published 2005 - 2008

Sampson, R., Atlar, M. & Sasaki, N. (2006a). Ice blockage tests with a dat tanker podded propulsor. In Technical advances in podded propulsion T-Pod 2006, 18, Brest, France.

Sampson, R., Atlar, M. & Sasaki, N. (2006b). Propulsor ice interaction - does cavitation matter? In Sixth international symposium on cavitation (Cav2006), Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Sampson, R., Atlar, M. & Sasaki, N. (2007a). Effect of cavitation during systematic ice block tests. In Port and Ocean Engineering under Arctic Conditions (POAC)

Sampson, R., Atlar, M. & Sasaki, N. (2007b). Ice blockage tests with a podded propulsor - effect of recess. In 27th Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering (OMAE)

# Icebreaker Designs





#### Yermark 1903

#### Tempera 2003





# Then and now - the bow propeller 1933 - 2008

# Development of the bow propeller

Podded vessels perform well when reversing into ice
Vessel remains controlable due to pod azimuth
Development of Mastera and Tempera (2003-4)
USCG Makinaw (2005)
Trend for Tankers and LNG carriers is set to rise
Deliveries from Samsung 2007-9

# The 'double acting' tanker concept



#### DAS vessels



Clear benefit to DAS design
Propulsion system exposed to less risk
Icebreaking speed increased
Propeller rotating continuously
Wake is extreme posing a high risk of cavitation

### Research rationale

Omission in the state-of the art Blockage test adopted as a quasi-static analysis Great insight into the process obtained Milling tests performed Tests of interest to ITTC specialist committee on ice and ITTC specialist committee on azimuthing podded propulsion

#### Propeller ice interaction terminology



#### Taper collar tests



0.20

0.30

0.40

Advance coefficient [J]

0.50

0.60

0.70

# Pod mounting on the K&R H33 Dynamometer

Pod introduced to modify the propeller wake
 Unconventionally mounted on dynamometer
 Blanking disk to limit circulation inside pod body



# 'Open water' performance (shaft loads only)



#### Gap test - 0.5mm, 2.5mm, 5mm





# Types of propeller loading



Blockage - static (due to obstructed flow)



Milling - dynamic (blade contacts the ice)

#### Experimental test rig principles

Icebox mounted upstream of the pod unit
 Hydraulic ram forces blockage toward propeller
 Ice block modifies the inflow to the propeller
 Ice block impacts the propeller and is milled

### Experimental test rig

Icebox mounted on the measuring section lid
 Pod body mounted around the dynamometer
 Blockage tests performed at fixed distances
 Milling tests used hydraulic feed





# Blockage Manufacture





# Blockage test - parameters

Depth of cut (mm)	50, 43, 20
Gap (mm)	3
Tunnel speed (m/s)	3, (1.94)
Vacuum (mm/Hg)	atmospheric, 150, 300, 450
Cavitation numbers	24, 17, 12, 8

# Blockage test



# Changes in depth of cut (KT sigma = 24 & 8)



÷



# Sigma = 24, 12, 8 for DOC = 40% J = 0.3

# Wake of the blockage



Extreme blockage wake
 3m/s free stream
 0.5m/s behind blockage
 Measured axial flow only

# Pod / Propeller open water comparison



#### Milling Tests in Cavitation Tunnel

Milling tests built on blockage results Styrofoam type material used <sup>3</sup>170Kpa strength equivalent to first year ice Tests covered design J conditions Tests expanded to study near bollard pull

# Milling Tests in Cavitation Tunnel



# Block damage





#### Propeller damage due to cavitation



# Summary

The blade loads show dramatic oscillations about the mean load during blockage; this was attributed to the highly unsteady wake due to the blockage. The amplitude of the oscillations increases dramatically with reducing cavitation number

The long term implications of these loadings on podded drives is unknown. All in service vessels have performed well, however with such a short window of service further study is required. Trials of *Norilsky Nikel* published by Wilkman (2007), the vessel (with a 9m draught) was reported to operate in continuous level ice of 0.5-1.5m.

On ice trials conducted between Murmansk to Yenisey River in March 2006, Wilkman reported trials in ridges with ice thickness of 5-10m. The vessel was able to penetrate these fields at a speed of 1 knot at 13MW, (full power) for 5 Nautical Miles, or 5 hours transit in restricted/blocked flow conditions.

It is clear therefore that blocked and restricted flow conditions capable of reaching the propeller do exist and are not always transient.

