 INTERNATIONAL TOWING TANK CONFERENCE	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 – 03 - 02 – 02 Page 1 of 9	
	Guideline Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion	Effective Date 2024	Revision 03

ITTC Quality System Manual Recommended Procedures and Guidelines

Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion

Maki K., Zhao F., Horn P., Banks J., Martio J., Starke B., Zaghi S., Villa D., Vitola M., Yoon H. S., Jiang Y., Shingo S.

7.5	Process Control
7.5-03	CFD
7.5-03-02	Resistance and Flow
7.5-03-02-02	Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion

Disclaimer

All the information in ITTC Recommended Procedures and Guidelines is published in good faith. Neither ITTC nor committee members provide any warranties about the completeness, reliability, accuracy or otherwise of this information. Given the technical evolution, the ITTC Recommended Procedures and Guidelines are checked regularly by the relevant committee and updated when necessary. It is therefore important to always use the latest version.

Any action you take upon the information you find in the ITTC Recommended Procedures and Guidelines is strictly at your own responsibility. Neither ITTC nor committee members shall be liable for any losses and/or damages whatsoever in connection with the use of information available in the ITTC Recommended Procedures and Guidelines.

Updated / Edited by	Approved
Specialist Committee on Combined CFD/EFD Methods of 30 th ITTC	30 th ITTC 2024
Date 06/2024	Date 09/2024
© 2024 ITTC.A Switzerland	DOI:



	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 – 03 - 02 – 02 Page 2 of 9	
	Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion	Effective Date 2024	Revision 03

Table of Contents

1. PURPOSE OF PROCEDURE..... 3	3. REFERENCES.....6
2. BENCHMARK DATABASE FOR CFD VALIDATION FOR RESISTANCE AND PROPULSION..... 3	4. KEYWORDS.....9

Abstract

Benchmark data has long been used as “weights” for the calibration of experimental facilities as well as “rulers” for the validation of CFD methods. Over the past decades, many well-known benchmark models such as DTMB 5415, KCS, REGAL, etc., have been designed and shared worldwide. These benchmark data are mainly derived from various CFD workshops and published papers; thus it is necessary to organize and summarize their lists and sources. This procedure provides a listing of the surface-ship benchmark database for CFD validation for resistance and propulsion. Benchmark cases are categorized into cargo containers, combatants, tankers, and bulk carriers, with information about the facilities, conditions, and test type that are summarized in tables. The procedure will be continuously updated in the future to cover, as far as possible, all the available benchmark databases for CFD validation.

 ITTC INTERNATIONAL TOWING TANK CONFERENCE	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 – 03 - 02 – 02 Page 3 of 9	
	Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion	Effective Date 2024	Revision 03

Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion.

1. PURPOSE OF PROCEDURE

Provide a listing of the benchmark database for CFD validation for resistance and propulsion.

2. BENCHMARK DATABASE FOR CFD VALIDATION FOR RESISTANCE AND PROPULSION

Rapid advancements in the development of CFD and EFD provide the necessary tools for realization of simulation based design. However, validation and calibration are also required, which creates the need to maintain a current evaluation of databases for CFD validation with regard to status and future uses and requirements. This has been a continuing goal of the Resistance Committee (RC) with specific focus on the surface-ship model-scale database and on data of relevance to resistance and propulsion and validation of RANS codes. The present evaluation provides an update over that reported by the 21st RC (ITTC, 1996) and is recommended for adoption. The effort was important in preparation for future Workshops on CFD in Ship Hydrodynamics, as an aid in selection of benchmark cases. These kinds of Workshops are devoted to compare viscous CFD codes and data for cargo/container, combatant, and tanker hull forms with and without a free surface. A database evaluation was also done in the past for aerospace applications (Marvin, 1995); however, the emphasis is more on building block experiments than practical geometries.

The previous evaluations were updated by down selection and inclusion of both unknownst and newly acquired data. The down

selection is based on the recommendations of the 21st RC for cargo/container [Hamburg Test Case (HTC)], combatant [David Taylor Model Basin (DTMB) model 5415 (5415)], and tanker [Ryuko-Maru (RM)] geometries which required that full-scale data and/or ship existed along with the Series 60 $C_B=0.6$ (S60) cargo/container and HSVA tanker geometries since the data and previous use are extensive. Unbeknownst data for a tanker (DAIOH) and newly acquired data for cargo/container (KCS) and tanker (KVLCC) geometries are also included since the data is extensive and holds promise for CFD validation.

The evaluation procedures followed those described by the 21st RC (ITTC, 1996). The data was organised in summary and detailed tables and evaluated using criteria developed for geometry and flow, physics, CFD validation, and full scale as well as past uses. Conclusions are also provided with regard to the available data and past uses and recommendations provided for future uses of the available data and future data procurement. The evaluation was fairly extensive and therefore was only summarised in the 22nd RC report mainly with regard to the summary table and recommendations. Stern et al. (1998) provides the complete evaluation, including references. The 28th RC report includes a new benchmark database, which is a Bulk Carrier hull form with and without an energy saving device (ESD). The first Workshop on Ship Scale Hydrodynamic Computer Simulation (2016) hosted by Lloyd's Register included a new benchmark database for a 16900DWT general cargo vessel "REGAL" in 2018. The updated summary table and references are also provided below.

Facility, propulsor, and data →		Facility	Propulsor	ESD	F/M	Self propulsion	Sinkage and trim	Surface pressure	Wave profile	Wave elevation (l)	Wave elevation (t)	Mean velocity	Mean pressure	Turbulence
↓	Database entry ↓													
Combatant														
<i>DTMB model 5415 $C_B=0.506$ (5415)</i> Full-scale ship does not exist														
5.1	David Taylor Model Basin Fry and Kim (1985) Ratcliffe (1998b)	tt	w, wo			√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	
5.2	Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research Longo and Stern (1999)	tt	wo			√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	
5.3	INSEAN Avanzini et al. (1998) Olivieri and Penna (1999)	tt	wo			√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	
<i>ONRT $C_B=0.535$ (5415)</i> Full-scale ship does not exist														
6.1	Iowa Institute of Hydraulic Research Sanada et al. (2013) Sadat Hosseini et al. (2015) Sanada et al. (2019)	tt	w	wo		√								
6.2	Seoul National University Towing Tank Laboratory (SNU) Seo et al. (2018)		w	wo		√	√							
Tanker														
<i>HSVA $C_B=0.850$ (HSVA)</i> Full-scale ship does not exist														
7.1	University of Hamburg Hoffmann (1976)	wt	wo										√	√
7.2	University of Hamburg Knaack (1984) Knaack (1990)	wt	wo									√	√	
<i>Hull-form variation Dyne tanker $C_B=0.850$ (Dyne)</i>														
8.1	University of Hamburg Denker et al. (1992) Knaack (1992)	wt	wo									√	√	
8.2	Chalmers University of Technology Lundgren and Åhman (1994) Dyne (1995)	tt tt	wo w, wo			√	√			√	√	√	√	
<i>Ryuko-Maru $C_B=0.830$ (RM)</i> Full-scale ship does not exist														
9.1	Ishikawajima-Harima Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. Ogiwara (1994)	s, tt	w, wo			√						√	√	
9.2	Osaka University Suzuki et al. (1997) Suzuki et al. (1998c)	wt	wo									√	√	
<i>DAIOH $C_B=0.837$ (DAIOH)</i> Full-scale ship does not exist														
10.1	Osaka University, Akashi Ship Model Basin, and Nippon Kokan K. K. Tanaka et al. (1984) Kasahara (1985)	s, tt	w, wo			√	√	√				√	√	
<i>KRISO 300K VLCC $C_B=0.810$ (KVLCC)</i> Full-scale ship does not exist														



ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines

7.5 – 03
- 02 – 02
Page 6 of 9

Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion

Effective Date
2024

Revision
03

11.1	Korea Research Institute of Ships & Ocean Engineering Van et al. (1998a) Van et al. (1998b)	tt	w, wo		√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√
<i>Hull-form variation VLCC2 $C_B=0.810$ (KVLCC2)</i>															
12.1	Korea Research Institute of Ships & Ocean Engineering No reference available	tt	w, wo		√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√

Facility, propulsor, and data → ↓ Database entry ↓		Facility	Propulsor	ESD	F/M	Self propulsion	Sinkage and trim	Surface pressure	Wave profile	Wave elevation (l)	Wave elevation (t)	Mean velocity	Mean pressure	Turbulence	
Bulk Carrier															
<i>Japan Bulk Carrier $C_B=0.858$ (JBC) Full-scale ship does not exist</i>															
13.1	National Maritime Research Institute No reference available	tt	w, wo	w, wo	√	√	√		√	√	√	√			
13.2	Osaka University No reference available	tt	w, wo	w, wo	√	√	√					√			
13.3	Technical University of Hamburg P. Sumislawski et al. (2022)	wt	wo	wo	√							√		√	
Ferry															
<i>Marin Ferry Full-scale ship does not exist</i>															
14.1	Maritime Research Institute Netherlands (MARIN) No reference available	tt	w	wo	√	√	√								

tt, wt, wc, s: Towing tank, wind tunnel, water channel, and sea, respectively

w, wo: With and without, respectively

√: Data available

√: Data under procurement

NA: Data not available

%: Percentage range of variable

Vessels,” Proceedings of CFD Workshop Tokyo 1994, Vol. 1, pp. 311-320.


3. REFERENCES

Avanzini, G., Benedetti, and Penna, R., 1998, “Experimental Evaluation of Ship Resistance for RANS Code Validation,” ISOPE ’98, Montreal, Canada, May.

Bertram, V., Chao, K.Y., Lammers, G., and Laudan J., 1994, “Experimental Validation Data of Free-Surface Flows for Cargo

Bertram, V., Chao, K.Y., Lammers, G., and Laudan J., 1992, “Development and Verification of Numerical Methods for Power Prediction,” HSVA Rept. 1579 (in German).

Denker, J., Knaack, T., and Kux, J., 1992, “Experimental and Numerical Investigations of HSVA-Tanker 2 Flow Field,” Institute of Shipbuilding (IfS) Rept. 521, Uni. Hamburg.

	ITTC – Recommended Procedures and Guidelines	7.5 – 03 - 02 – 02 Page 7 of 9	
	Benchmark Database for CFD Validation for Resistance and Propulsion	Effective Date 2024	Revision 03

- Dyne, G., 1995, “An Experimental Investigation of the Tanker Model “Dyne” in a Towing Tank,” Chalmers University of Technology Rept. CHA/NAVR/-95/0036.
- Fry, D.J. and Kim, Y.H., 1985, “Bow Flow Field of Surface Ships,” Proceedings of the 15th ONR Symposium on Naval Hydrodynamics, Hamburg, pp. 319-346.
- Garofallidis, D. A., 1996, “ Experimental and Numerical Investigation of the Flow around a Ship Model At Various Froude Numbers Part II: Uncertainty Analysis for Measurements,” PhD Thesis, Dept. Of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering, National Technical University of Athens.
- Gothenburg, 2000, “Gothenburg 2000 Workshop on CFD in Ship Hydrodynamics,” www.ihr.uiowa.edu/gothenburg2000
- Gietz, U. and Kux, J., 1995, “Flow Investigations on the Hamburg Test Case Model in the Wind Tunnel,” Institute of Shipbuilding (IfS) Rept. 550, Uni. Hamburg (in German).
- Hoffmann, H.P., 1976, “Investigation of 3-Dimensional Turbulent Boundary Layer on a Ship Double Model in Wind Tunnel,” Institute of Shipbuilding (IfS) Rept. 343, Uni. Hamburg (in German).
- ITTC, 1984, “Report of the Resistance and Flow Committee,” 17th International Towing Tank Conference, Goteborg, Sweden, pp. 75-138.
- ITTC, 1987, “Report of the Resistance and Flow Committee,” 18th International Towing Tank Conference, Kobe Japan, pp. 47-95.
- ITTC, 1990, “Report of the Resistance and Flow Committee,” 19th International Towing Tank Conference, Madrid, Spain, pp. 55-107.
- ITTC, 1996, “Report of the Resistance and Flow Committee”, 21st. International Towing Tank Conference, Trondheim, Norway, pp. 439-514.
- Kasahara, Y., 1985, “An Experimental Investigation of the Scale Effect on Nominal and Effective Wake Distribution Using Geosim Models of Two Different Ships,” Proceedings 1st Osaka International Colloquium on Ship Viscous Flow, Osaka, Japan, pp. 432-449.
- Knaack, T., 1984, “Laser Doppler Velocimetry Measurements on a Ship Model in Wind Tunnel,” Institute of Shipbuilding (IfS) Rept. 439, Uni. Hamburg (in German).
- Knaack, T., 1990, “LDV-Measurements of Reynolds-Stresses in Wake of a Ship Model in Wind Tunnel,” Institute of Shipbuilding (IfS) Rept. 499, Uni. Hamburg (in German).
- Knaack, T., 1992, “Investigation of Structure of Reynolds Tensor Fields in a Three-Dimensional Flow,” Institute of Shipbuilding (IfS) Rept. 527, Uni. Hamburg (in German).
- Lammers, G., Laudan, J., and Strohrmann, H., 1989, “Correlation of Wake and Cavitation and their Consequences,” HSVA Rept. 1565 (in German).
- Larsson, L., Stern, F., Visnneau, M., Hirata, N., Hino, T., and Kim, J., 2015, A Workshop on CFD in Ship Hydrodynamics, Tokyo
- Lee, J., Lee, S.J., and Van, S.H., 1998, “Wind Tunnel Test on a Double Deck Shaped Ship Model,” 3rd International Conference on Hydrodynamics, Seoul, Korea.
- Liu, W., Wang, W., Qiu, G., Wan, D., Wang, J., Wang, Z., and Stern, F., 2022, “KCS Unsteady Bow Wave Breaking Experiments for

- Physics and CFD Validation,” Proceedings of the 34th Symposium on Naval Hydrodynamics, Washington, D.C.
- Longo, J., Stern, F., and Toda, Y., 1993, “Mean-Flow Measurements in the Boundary Layer and Wake and Wave Field of a Series 60 $C_B=0.60$ Ship Model - Part 2: Scale Effects on Near-Field Wave Patterns and Comparisons with Inviscid Theory,” *Journal of Ship Research*, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 16-24.
- Longo, J. and F. Stern, 1996, “Yaw effects on model-scale ship flows,” Proceedings of the 21st ONR Symposium on Naval Hydrodynamics, Trondheim, Norway, pp. 312-327.
- Longo, J. and Stern, F., 1999, “Resistance, Sinkage and Trim, Wave Profile, and Nominal Wake and Uncertainty Assessment for DTMB Model 5512,” Proceedings 25th ATTC, Iowa City, IA.
- Lundgren, H. and Ahman, M., G., 1994, “Experimentell och numerisk bestämning av vagmotstånd för ett tankfartyg (Dyne tankern),” Chalmers University of Technology Rept. X-94/58 (in Swedish).
- Marvin, J.G., 1995, “Dryden Lectureship in Research: Perspectives on Computational Fluid Dynamics Validation,” *AIAA J.*, Vol. 33, No. 10, pp. 1778-1787.
- Ogiwara, S., 1994, “Stern Flow Measurements for the Tanker 'Ryuko-Maru' in Model Scale, Intermediate Scale, and Full Scale Ships,” Proceedings of CFD Workshop Tokyo 1994, Vol. 1, pp. 341-349.
- Ogiwara, S. and Kajitani, H., 1994, “Pressure Distribution on the Hull Surface of Series 60 ($C_B=0.60$) Model,” Proceedings of CFD Workshop Tokyo 1994, Vol. 1, pp. 350-358.
- Olivieri, A. and Penna, R., 1999, “Uncertainty Assessment in Wave Elevation Measurements,” ISOPE '99, Brest, France, June.
- Ponkratov, D., (2016), The workshop in ship scale computer simulations, Proceedings, Lloyd's Register, Southampton, UK. [Online]. Available at <https://info.lr.org/CFDworkshop> (Accessed 5 February 2018).
- Ratcliffe, T., 1998, <http://www50.dt.navy.mil/5415/>.
- Sanada, Y., Tanimoto, K., Takagi, K., Gui, L., Toda, Y., and Stern, F., “Trajectories for ONR Tumblehome Maneuvering in Calm Water and Waves”, *Ocean Engineering*, Vol. 72, pp. 45-65, November 2013. doi:10.1016/j.oceaneng.2013.06.001.
- Sadat-Hosseini, H., Sanada, Y., and Stern, F., “Experiments and CFD for ONRT Course Keeping and Turning Circle Maneuvering in Regular Waves”, World Maritime Technology Conference (WMTC), 163, Providence, RI, November 2015.
- Sanada, Y., Elshiekh, H., Toda, Y., and Stern, F., “ONR Tumblehome Course Keeping and Maneuvering in Calm Water and Waves”, *Journal of Marine Science and Technology*, Vol. 24, No. 3, pp. 948-967, September 2019. doi:10.1007/s00773-018-0598-3.
- Seo J., Kim D. H., Ha J. S., Rhee S. H., Yoon H. K., Park J. Y., Seok W. and Rhee K. P.. “Captive Model Tests for Assessing Maneuverability of a Damaged Surface Combatant with Initial Heel Angle”. 32nd Symposium on Naval Hydrodynamics, Hamburg, Germany 5-10 August 2018.
- Stern, F., Longo, J., Maksoud, M., and Suzuki, T., 1998, “Evaluation of Surface-Ship

Resistance and Propulsion Model-Scale Database for CFD Validation,” 1st Symposium on Marine Applications of Computational Fluid Dynamics, McLean, VA, 19-21 May (also see www.iuhr.uiowa.edu/gothenburg2000).

Sumislowski P., Sahab A., Shevchuk I., and Abdel-Maksoud M., 2022, “Numerical investigation of the JBC hull and propeller interaction under static drift condition,” Proceedings of the 34th Symposium on Naval Hydrodynamics, Washington, D.C.

Suzuki, H., Miyazaki, S., Suzuki, T., and Matsu-
mura, K., 1998a, “Turbulence Measure-
ments in Stern Flow Field of Two Ship Mod-
els,” Proceedings 3rd Osaka Colloquium on
Advanced CFD Applications to Ship Flow
and Hull Form Design, Osaka, Japan.

Suzuki, H., Suzuki, T., and Miyazaki, S., 1998b,
“Turbulence Measurements in Stern Flow
Field of a Ship Model–Series 60, $C_B=0.60$ –,”
Journal of Kansai Society of Naval Archi-
tects, No. 227, pp. 29-40 (in Japanese).

Suzuki, H., Suzuki, T., Miyazaki, S. and Matsu-
mura, K., 1998c, “Turbulence Measure-
ments in Stern Flow Field of Two Ship Mod-
els–Ryuko-Maru and Hamburg Test Case–,”
Proceedings of the Kansai Society of Naval
Architects, No. 10, pp. 1-4

Tanaka, I., Suzuki, T., Himeno, Y., Takahei, T.,
Tsuda, T., Sakao, M., Yamazaki, Y., Kasa-
hara, Y., and Takagi, M., 1984, “Investiga-
tion of Scale Effects on Wake Distribution
Using Geosim Models,” J. Kansai Soc. N.A.,
Japan, No. 192, pp. 103-120.

Toda, Y., Stern, F., Tanaka, I., and Patel, V.C.,
1990, “Mean-Flow Measurements in the
Boundary Layer and Wake of a Series 60
 $C_B=0.60$ Model Ship With and Without Pro-
peller,” Journal of Ship Research, Vol. 34,
No. 4, pp. 225-252.

Toda, Y., Stern, F., and Longo, J., 1992, “Mean-
Flow Measurements in the Boundary Layer
and Wake and Wave Field of a Series 60
 $C_B=0.60$ Ship Model - Part 1: Froude Num-
bers 0.16 and 0.316,” Journal of Ship Re-
search, Vol. 36, No. 4, pp. 360-377.

Van, S.H., Yim, G.T., Kim, W.J., Kim, D.H.,
Yoon, H.S., and Eom, J.Y., 1997, “Measure-
ment of Flows Around a 3600TEU Con-
tainer Ship Model,” Proceedings of the An-
nual Autumn Meeting, SNAK, Seoul, pp.
300-304 (in Korean).

Van, S.H., Kim W.J., Kim, D.H., Yim, G.T.,
Lee, C.J., and Eom, J.Y., 1998a, “Flow
Measurement Around a 300K VLCC Model,”
Proceedings of the Annual Spring Meeting,
SNAK, Ulsan, pp. 185-188.

Van, S.H., Kim, W.J., Yim, G.T., Kim, D.H.,
and Lee, C.J., 1998b, “Experimental Inves-
tigation of the Flow Characteristics Around
Practical Hull Forms,” Proceedings of 3rd
Osaka Colloquium on Advanced CFD Ap-
plications to Ship Flow and Hull Form De-
sign, Osaka, Japan.

4. KEYWORDS

Benchmark database, surface-ship, ship re-
sistance, ship propulsion